arXiv:math/0103021v1 [math.QA] 5 Mar 2001

Irreducible Modules of Finite Dimensional Quantum Algebras of type A at Roots of Unity

Toshiki Nakashima

Department of Mathematics,
Sophia University, Tokyo 102-8554, JAPAN
e-mail: toshiki@mm.sophia.ac.jp

1 Introduction

The quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is an associative algebra over the rational function field $\mathbf{C}(q)$ (q is an indeterminate) and we can define its "integral" form over the Laurant polynomial ring $\mathbf{C}[q, q^{-1}]$, which enables us to specialize q to any non-zero complex number ε. We are going to see two types of such integral forms and accordingly, we obtain two types of specializations, one is called the 'restriced specialization' denoted by $U_\varepsilon^{\rm res}$, and the other is called the 'non-restricted specialization' denoted by U_ε. Both coincide if ε is trancendental. But we are interested in the case that ε is the l-th primitive root of unity, where l is an odd integer greater than 1. In the case, they do not so. The former is initiated by Lusztig [4],[5] and the latter is introduced in [3] by DeConcini and Kac. Their representation theories are quite different: Irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\rm res}$-modules are highest weight modules in some sense and the classification of the irreducible modules is same as the one for simple Lie algebras or ordinary quantum algebras (see Theorem 3.5 below). Furthermore, irreducble modules possess the remarkable property "tensor product theorem" (see Theorem 3.6 below), which claims that arbitray irreducible highest weight module $V(\lambda)$ with the highest weight λ is devided into tensor product of two irreducible modules $V(\lambda^{(0)})$ and $V(l\lambda^{(1)})$ where $\lambda^{(0)}$ and $\lambda^{(1)}$ are as in Theorem 3.6. Here the module $V(\lambda^{(0)})$ is identified with the irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\rm fin}$-module, wehre $U_\varepsilon^{\rm fin}$ is some finite dimenstional subalgebra of $U_\varepsilon^{\rm res}$ (see 2.2) and the module $V(l\lambda^{(1)})$ can be identified with the irreducible highest weight $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module $V(\lambda^{(1)})$, whose structure is known very well. Thus, if the structure of $V(\lambda^{(0)})$ is clarified, we can analize the detailed feature of $V(\lambda)$. Indeed, the character of $V(\lambda)$ is given by the famous Kazhdan-Lusztig formula. But structures as a module, *e.g.*, explicit descriptions of basis vectors or actions of the generators on them, are not still clear.

On the other hand, irreducible U_ε-modules are not necessarily highest or lowest weight modules. They are characterized by many continious parameters and if they are "generic", their dimensions are all same (see [3],[1]). But if we specialize the parameters properly, the modules become reducible. In [2], Date, Jimbo, Miki and Miwa constrcuted such U_ε-modules for A_n-type explicitly, which is called the 'maximal cyclic representations' that is realized in the vector space $\mathcal{V} := (\mathbf{C}^l)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}$. They contains the continious parameters and it is shown that if those parameters are generic, they are irreducible. Here we consider certain non-generic specialization of the parameters so that $\mathcal{V}$ becomes a reducible U_ε-module. Moreover, we shall observe that such a module includes the unique primitive vector (see Proposition 4.9). The submodule generated by this primitive vector can be seen as an irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\rm fin}$-module and isomorphic to $V(\lambda^{(0)})$ for some λ (Theorem 5.5).

The organizations of the paper is as follows; in section 2 we review the quantum algebras at roots of unity. In section 3, we see the maximal cyclic representations of the A-type following [2] and review the represenation theory of $U_\varepsilon^{\rm res}$. In section 4, we specilize the parameters properly and show that under the specialization, there exists a unique primitive vector in the module. Finally, in section 5, it is shown that the representation space become the module of the finite dimensional algebra $U_\varepsilon^{\rm fin}$ and the submodule generated by the primitive vector is irreducible.

2 Algebras at roots of unity

In this section, we review the algebras treated in this article.

2.1 Restricted integral forms and specializations

Let $\mathbf{C}(q)$ be the rational function field in an indeterminate q and denote the ring $\mathbf{C}[q,q^{-1}]$ by $\mathcal{A}$. We use the notations:

$$[a]_q := \frac{q^a-q^{-a}}{q-q^{-1}},\quad [a]_q! := [a]_q[a-1]_q\cdots[2]_q[1]_q,\quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[m]_q!}{[k]_q![m-k]_q!}.$$

Let $I := \{1,2,\cdots,n\}$ be the index set and $(a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ be the Cartan matrix of type A, i.e., $a_{ii}=2$ $(1\le i\le n)$, $a_{ii+1}=a_{i+1i}=-1$ $(1\le i\le n-1)$, and $a_{ij}=0$ otherwise. Let us denote the set of roots (resp. positive roots) by Δ (resp. Δ_+). Let $\{h_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the set of simple coroots and $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I}$ the set of simple roots. Define the weight lattice $P := \{\lambda \,|\, \langle h_i,\lambda\rangle \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ (resp. the set of dominant integral weights $P_+ := \{\lambda \,|\, \langle h_i,\lambda\rangle \in \mathbf{Z}_{\ge 0}\}$). Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the fundamental weights which satisfy $\langle h_i,\Lambda_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$ and then $P=\oplus_i \mathbf{Z}\Lambda_i$. Let W be the Weyl group of type A_n, which is generated by the simple reflections s_i $(i\in I)$. The *quantum algebra* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the associative algebra generated by $e_i, f_i, t_i^{\pm}$ $(i\in I)$ and the relations

$$t_it_i^{-1}=t_i^{-1}t_i=1,\quad t_it_j=t_jt_i, \tag{2.1}$$

$$t_ie_jt_i^{-1}=q^{a_{ij}}e_j, \tag{2.2}$$

$$t_if_jt_i^{-1}=q^{-a_{ij}}e_j, \tag{2.3}$$

$$e_if_j-f_je_i=\tfrac{t_i-t_i^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\textstyle\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)e_i^{(k)}e_je_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)}=\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)f_i^{(k)}f_jf_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)}=0\quad (i\ne j), \tag{2.5}$$

where $e_i^{(k)} := e_i^k/[k]_q!$ and $f_i^{(k)} := f_i^k/[k]_q!$.
Here we set

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_i,p \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q := \prod_{s=1}^{r}\frac{t_iq^{p+1-s}-t_i^{-1}q^{s-p-1}}{q^s-q^{-s}}.$$

The algebra $U_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{res}}$ is the $\mathcal{A}$-subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $e_i^{(k)}$, $f_i^{(k)}$, $t_i^{\pm}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} t_i, p \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ ($i \in I$, $p, k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $k \geq 0$), which is called the *restricted integral form.*

Here we can define the *restricted specializations* for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{C}^{\times}$;

$$U_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{res}} := U_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{res}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{C}_{\varepsilon}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ acts on $\mathbf{C}_{\varepsilon} := \mathbf{C}$ by $f(q)c := f(\varepsilon)c$ ($c \in \mathbf{C}$).

2.2 Finite dimensional quantum algebra

For $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{C}^{\times}$ we use the notation

$$[a] := \frac{\varepsilon^{a} - \varepsilon^{-a}}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1}}, \qquad [a]! := [a][a-1]\cdots[2][1], \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q=\varepsilon}.$$

Since $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q} \in \mathbf{C}[q, q^{-1}]$, the definition of $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ is valid.

As for the specializations of q, we shall be interested in the case that ε is a root of unity. So in what follows, suppose that:

l is the odd integer greater than 1 and ε is the primitive l-th root of unity.

Under this setting, we can find an interesting finite dimensional subalgebra $U_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{fin}}$ of $U_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{res}}$. $U_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{fin}}$ is defined as the subalgebra of $U_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{res}}$ generated by e_i, f_i and $t_i^{\pm}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). We know that this algebra is finite dimensional over $\mathbf{C}$ with the dimension $2^{n} l^{n^2+2n}$ (see Proposition 2.2 below).

This $U_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{fin}}$ is also defined by "generators and relations" as follows;

Proposition 2.1 ([1],[4]) *The algebra $U_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{fin}}$ is isomorphic to the associative $\mathbf{C}$-algebra with generators e_{α}, f_{α} ($\alpha \in \Delta_{+}$) and $t_i^{\pm}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) satisfying the following relations;*

$$t_i t_i^{-1} = t_i^{-1} t_i = 1, \quad t_i t_j = t_j t_i, \tag{2.7}$$

$$t_i e_j t_i^{-1} = \varepsilon^{a_{ij}} e_j, \tag{2.8}$$

$$t_i f_j t_i^{-1} = \varepsilon^{-a_{ij}} e_j, \tag{2.9}$$

$$e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} \tfrac{t_i - t_i^{-1}}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1}}. \tag{2.10}$$

If $(\alpha_i, \alpha) = 0$ and $i < g(\alpha)$,

$$e_i e_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha} e_i, \tag{2.11}$$

$$f_i f_{\alpha} = f_{\alpha} f_i. \tag{2.12}$$

If $(\alpha_i, \alpha) = -1$ and $i < g(\alpha)$,

$$e_{\alpha+\alpha_i} = \varepsilon^{-1} e_{\alpha} e_i - e_i e_{\alpha}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\varepsilon e_i e_{\alpha+\alpha_i} = e_{\alpha+\alpha_i} e_i, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\varepsilon e_{\alpha+\alpha_i} e_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha} e_{\alpha+\alpha_i}, \tag{2.15}$$

$$f_{\alpha+\alpha_i} = \varepsilon f_{\alpha} f_i - f_i f_{\alpha}, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\varepsilon f_i f_{\alpha+\alpha_i} = f_{\alpha+\alpha_i} f_i, \tag{2.17}$$
$$\varepsilon f_{\alpha+\alpha_i} f_\alpha = f_\alpha f_{\alpha+\alpha_i}. \tag{2.18}$$
$$e_\alpha^l = f_\alpha^l = 0, (\textit{ for any } \alpha \in \Delta_+), \tag{2.19}$$
$$t_i^{2l} = 1(\textit{ for any } i \in I), \tag{2.20}$$

where we define $g(\alpha)$ $(\alpha \in \Delta_+)$ to be the largest index satisfying $c_i \neq 0$ if we write $\alpha = \sum_i c_i\alpha_i$ and set $e_i := e_{\alpha_i}$ and $f_i := f_{\alpha_i}$.

Define $(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})^+$ (resp. $(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})^-$, $(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})^0$) to be the subalgebra of $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}$ generated by e_i (resp. f_i, $t_i^{\pm}$). Fix a reduced expression $w_0 = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_N}$ of the longest elememtn of the Weyl group W and set $\beta_k := s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k})$ for $k \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$ where $N := \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ is the number of positive roots. Here we have the following Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type theorem:

Proposition 2.2 ([1],[4]) *(i) The algebra $(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})^+$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbf{C}$-vector space with the basis*

$$\{e_{\beta_N}^{r_N} e_{\beta_{N-1}}^{r_{N-1}} \cdots e_{\beta_1}^{r_1}\}_{0 \le r_1, \cdots, r_N < l}. \tag{2.21}$$

(ii) The algebra $(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})^-$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbf{C}$-vector space with the basis

$$\{f_{\beta_N}^{r_N} f_{\beta_{N-1}}^{r_{N-1}} \cdots f_{\beta_1}^{r_1}\}_{0 \le r_1, \cdots, r_N < l}. \tag{2.22}$$

(iii) The algebra $(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})^0$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbf{C}$-vector space with the basis

$$\{t_n^{r_n} t_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} \cdots t_1^{r_1}\}_{0 \le r_1, \cdots, r_n < 2l}. \tag{2.23}$$

(iv) Multiplication defines an isomorphism of $\mathbf{C}$-vector space;

$$(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})^- \otimes (U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})^0 \otimes (U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}. \tag{2.24}$$

2.3 Non-restrcited specializations

Here we see another type of specialization of q to a root of unity.

Introduce the elements

$$[t_i; m] := \frac{t_i q^m - t_i^{-1} q^{-m}}{q - q^{-1}} \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

The algebra $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the $\mathcal{A}$-subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the elements e_i, f_i, $t_i^{\pm}$ and $[t_i; 0]$ $(1 \le i \le n)$.

Remark. The defining relations for $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ are as in 2.1, but repalcing (2.4) by

$$e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij}[t_i; 0]. \tag{2.25}$$

and add the relation $(q - q^{-1})[t_i; 0] = t_i - t_i^{-1}$.

Now for arbitrary $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ we define the $\mathbf{C}$-algebra

$$U_\varepsilon := U_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{C}_\varepsilon,$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ acts on $\mathbf{C}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{C}$ by $f(q)c = f(\varepsilon)c$ $(c \in \mathbf{C})$. This U_ε is called the *non-restricted specialization.*

3 Representations

3.1 Maximal cyclic representations of U_ε

The representation theory of U_ε is discussed in [3] in which the maximal dimension of irreducible representations for A_n type is given by $l^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}$ in the case ε is the l-th root of unity and in [2], it is constructed explicitly and called the 'maximal cyclic representaions'. Here we modify the presnetations in [2] subtly in order to simplify the arguments in the section 4.

Let H be the group generated by $\{x_{ij}, z_{ij}\}_{1\leq i\leq j\leq n}$ and the center ε with the relations $z_{ij}x_{ij} = \varepsilon x_{ij}z_{ij}$ and all others commute each other, and set $\mathcal{W} := \mathbf{C}[H]$ the group ring of H. For $r := (r_1, \cdots, r_n)$, $s := (s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in (\mathbf{C}^\times)^n$, we define the map $\varphi_{r,s} : U_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ by (see [2]);

$$\varphi_{r,s}(e_i) := \sum_{k=i}^{n} x_{i\,k}x_{i\,k+1}\cdots x_{i\,n}\{r_i z_{i\,k} z_{i\,k-1} z^{-1}_{i-1\,k-1} z^{-1}_{i+1\,k}\}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{r,s}(f_i) := &\sum_{k=1}^{i} x^{-1}_{i+1-k\,n+1-k} x^{-1}_{i+2-k\,n+2-k}\cdots x^{-1}_{in} \\ &\times\{s_i z_{i+1-k\,n-k} z^{-1}_{i+1-k\,n+1-k} z_{i-k\,n+1-k} z^{-1}_{i-k\,n-k}\},\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\varphi_{r,s}(t_i) := \frac{r_i}{s_i} z^2_{i\,n} z^{-1}_{i-1\,n} z^{-1}_{i+1\,n}, \tag{3.3}$$

where we use the notation $\{z\} = (z - z^{-1})/(\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1})$.

Let $* : \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ be the $\mathbf{C}$-linear involution defined by

$$x^*_{jk} := x^{-1}_{k+1-j\,k}, \qquad z^*_{jk} := z^{-1}_{k+1-j\,k},$$

and set

$$A_{ik} := x_{i\,k}x_{i\,k+1}\cdots x_{i\,n}, \qquad B_{ik} := z_{i\,k}z_{i\,k-1}z^{-1}_{i-1\,k-1}z^{-1}_{i+1\,k}.$$

Then, (3.1) and (3.2) can be written in the following forms;

$$\varphi_{r,s}(e_i) = \sum_{k=i}^{n} A_{ik}\{r_i B_{ik}\}, \qquad \varphi_{r,s}(f_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{i} A^*_{n+1-i\,n+1-k}\{s_i B^*_{n+1-i\,n+1-k}\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proposition 3.1 *The map $\varphi_{r,s}$ defines a $\mathbf{C}$-linear algebra homomorphism from U_ε to $\mathcal{W}$.*

Lemma 3.2 *The following commutation relations hold (see [2](2.5)).*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij}B_{ik} &= \varepsilon^{-2}B_{ik}A_{ij} && \text{if} \quad j < k, \\ &= \varepsilon^{-1}B_{ik}A_{ij} && \text{if} \quad j = k, \\ &= B_{ik}A_{ij} && \text{if} \quad j > k. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1. We have $A_{ik}B_{ik}=\varepsilon^{-1}B_{ik}A_{ik}$ and then

$$\varphi_{r,s}(e_i)=\sum_{k=i}^{n}\{r_i\varepsilon^{-1}B_{ik}\}A_{ik},\qquad \varphi_{r,s}(f_i)=\sum_{k=1}^{i}\{s_i\varepsilon^{-1}B^*_{n+1-i\,n+1-k}\}A^*_{n+1-i\,n+1-k}.$$

This implies $\varphi_{r,s}=\rho_{\varepsilon^{-1}r,\varepsilon^{-1}s}$ ($\rho_{r,s}$ is given in [2]). Thus, by Theorem 2.2 in [2], we obtained the desired result. □

Proposition 3.3 *For any* $m\in\mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, *we have*

$$\varphi_{r,s}(e_i^m)=[m]!\sum_{p=1}^{m}\sum_{\substack{i\le k_p<\cdots<k_1\le n\\ 1\le\nu_p<\cdots<\nu_1=m}}\prod_{r=1}^{p}A_{i\,k_r}^{\nu_r-\nu_{r+1}}\prod_{r=1}^{p}\left\{\begin{matrix}r_iB_{i\,k_r};\nu_r-1\\ \nu_r-\nu_{r+1}\end{matrix}\right\},\tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi_{r,s}(f_i^m)\\ &=[m]!\sum_{p=1}^{m}\sum_{\substack{i\le k_p<\cdots<k_1\le n\\ 1\le\nu_p<\cdots<\nu_1=m}}\prod_{r=1}^{p}A^{*\nu_r-\nu_{r+1}}_{n+1-i\,n+1-k_r}\prod_{r=1}^{p}\left\{\begin{matrix}s_iB^*_{n+1-i\,n+1-k_r};\nu_r-1\\ \nu_r-\nu_{r+1}\end{matrix}\right\},\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

where $\nu_{p+1}=0$ and we set

$$\left\{\begin{matrix}a;b\\ c\end{matrix}\right\}:=\frac{\{a\varepsilon^b\}\{a\varepsilon^{b-1}\}\cdots\{a\varepsilon^{b-c+1}\}}{[c]!}.$$

Remark. The definition of $\left\{\begin{matrix}a;b\\ c\end{matrix}\right\}$ is invalid for ε such that $[c]!=0$. But in the right hand-side of (3.5) and (3.6) we see that the term

$$\frac{[m]!}{\prod_{r=1}^{p}[\nu_r-\nu_{r+1}]}\qquad(1\le\nu_p<\cdots<\nu_1=m).$$

is valid since $[m]_q!/\prod_{r=1}^{p}[\nu_r-\nu_{r+1}]_q\in\mathbf{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$.

Proof. In [2], the following formula is given

$$\rho_{r,s}(e_i^m)=[m]!\sum_{p=1}^{m}\sum_{\substack{i\le k_p<\cdots<k_1\le n\\ 1\le\nu_p<\cdots<\nu_1=m}}\prod_{r=1}^{p}\left\{\begin{matrix}r_iB_{i\,k_r};-\nu_{r+1}\\ \nu_r-\nu_{r+1}\end{matrix}\right\}\prod_{r=1}^{p}A_{i\,k_r}^{\nu_r-\nu_{r+1}},\tag{3.7}$$

where $\nu_{p+1}=0$. Since $\varphi_{r,s}=\rho_{\varepsilon^{-1}r,\varepsilon^{-1}s}$, it follows from (3.7)

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi_{r,s}(e_i^m)=\rho_{\varepsilon^{-1}r,\varepsilon^{-1}s}(e_i^m)\\ &=[m]!\sum_{p=1}^{m}\sum_{\substack{i\le k_p<\cdots<k_1\le n\\ 1\le\nu_p<\cdots<\nu_1=m}}\prod_{r=1}^{p}\left\{\begin{matrix}\varepsilon^{-1}r_iB_{i\,k_r};-\nu_{r+1}\\ \nu_r-\nu_{r+1}\end{matrix}\right\}\prod_{r=1}^{p}A_{i\,k_r}^{\nu_r-\nu_{r+1}}\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

Here by Lemma 3.2 we obtain for $i\le k_p<\cdots<k_1\le n$ and $1\le r\le p$

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{\begin{matrix}\varepsilon^{-1}r_iB_{i\,k_r};-\nu_{r+1}\\ \nu_r-\nu_{r+1}\end{matrix}\right\}\left(\prod_{r=1}^{p}A_{i\,k_r}^{\nu_r-\nu_{r+1}}\right)&=\left(\prod_{r=1}^{p}A_{i\,k_r}^{\nu_r-\nu_{r+1}}\right)\left\{\begin{matrix}\varepsilon^{-1+\nu_r+\nu_{r+1}-2\nu_{p+1}}r_iB_{i\,k_r};-\nu_{r+1}\\ \nu_r-\nu_{r+1}\end{matrix}\right\}\\ &=\left(\prod_{r=1}^{p}A_{i\,k_r}^{\nu_r-\nu_{r+1}}\right)\left\{\begin{matrix}r_iB_{i\,k_r};\nu_r-1\\ \nu_r-\nu_{r+1}\end{matrix}\right\},\end{aligned}$$

where we use $\nu_{p+1} = 0$. Thus, we obtain (3.5). Similarly we also get (3.6). $\square$

Let $V_{i\,j}$ $(1 \leq i \leq j \leq n)$ be a copy of the vector space $\mathbf{C}^l$ and set $\mathcal{V} := \otimes_{1\leq i\leq j\leq n} V_{i\,j}$. Let $u_0, \cdots, u_{l-1}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbf{C}^l$. Now we define the representation $(\psi_{a,b}, \mathcal{V})$ of $\mathcal{W}$ as follows: Let $Z_{j\,k}, X_{j\,k} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V})$ be the matrices defined as $Z_{j\,k}u_i = u_{i+1}$ and $X_{j\,k}u_i = \varepsilon^i u_i$ on the component V_{jk} and as the identity on the other component. For non-zero parameters $a := (a_{i\,j})_{1\leq i\leq j\leq n}$ and $b := (b_{i\,j})_{1\leq i\leq j\leq n} \in (\mathbf{C}^\times)^{n(n+1)/2}$, define $\psi_{a,b}(x_{i\,j})$, $\psi_{a,b}(z_{i\,j}) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V})$ to be

$$\psi_{a,b}(x_{ij}) = a_{ij}X_{ij}, \qquad \psi_{a,b}(z_{ij}) = b_{ij}Z_{ij}. \tag{3.9}$$

We can easily check that these define the representation of $\mathcal{W}$:

$$\psi_{a,b} : \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V}). \tag{3.10}$$

Composing $\varphi_{r,s}$ and $\psi_{a,b}$;

$$\Phi_{r,s,a,b} := \psi_{a,b} \circ \varphi_{r,s} \ : U_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r,s}} \mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\psi_{a,b}} \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V}).$$

we obtain the representation of U_ε denoted by $(\Phi_{r,s,a,b}, \mathcal{V})$. The representation introduced in [2] is just as $(\Phi_{\varepsilon r,\varepsilon s,a,b}, \mathcal{V})$ in our notation since we have $\varphi_{r,s} = \rho_{\varepsilon^{-1}r,\varepsilon^{-1}s}$ in the proof of Proposition 3.1.

In [2], it is shown that the central elements of U_ε take values in an open set of $\mathbf{C}^{n(n+2)}$ and then by [3], it turns out to be generically irreducible for the parameters r, s, a, b. We are interested in specializations of these parameters so that the representation $(\Phi_{r,s,a,b}), \mathcal{V})$ is not necessarily irreducible.

3.2 Representations of $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}$ and $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}$

We review the representation theory of $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}$. The classification of the irreducible represntations of $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}$ is given by Lusztig [5]. Before seeing it, let us recall the notions of highest weight modules.

Definition 3.4 *Let V be a $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}$-module of type* $\mathbf{1}$ *(as for "type", see [1],[5]).*

(*i*) *The weight spaces V_λ $(\lambda = \sum_i m_i\Lambda_i \in P)$ of V are defined by*

$$V_\lambda := \left\{ v \in V | t_i v = \varepsilon^{m_i^{(0)}} v, \quad \begin{bmatrix} t_i; 0 \\ l \end{bmatrix} v = \begin{bmatrix} m_i^{(1)} \\ l \end{bmatrix} v \right\}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $m_i = m_i^{(0)} + l m_i^{(1)}$ and $0 \leq m_i^{(0)} < l$.

(*ii*) *V is a highest weight module if V is generated by a primitive vector, i.e., a vector $v \in V_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in P$, such that $e_i v = e_i^{(l)} v = 0$ for any $i \in I$. In the case, λ is called the highest weight and v is called the highest weight vector of V.*

Let $V(\lambda)$ be the irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module given by $V(\lambda) = U_q(\mathfrak{g})/\mathcal{I}$ where $\lambda \in P_+$ and, $\mathcal{I}$ is the left ideal generated by e_i, $f_i^{1+\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle}$ and $t_i - q^{\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Here denote the generator of $V(\lambda)$ by v_λ. Let $V_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{res}}(\lambda)$ be the $U_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{res}}$-submodule of $V(\lambda)$ generated by v_λ. Set $W_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}(\lambda) := V_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{res}}(\lambda) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{C}_\varepsilon$, which is naturally $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}$-module. Note that $W_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}(\lambda)$ is not necessarily irreducible. So, let Y be its maximal proper submodule and define $V_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}(\lambda) := W_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}(\lambda)/Y$ to be the irreducibel quotient, which is type **1** highest weight module with the highest weight λ.

Theorem 3.5 ([5]) *Arbitrary finite-dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}$-module V of type* **1** *is isomorphic to $V_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}(\lambda)$ for a unique $\lambda \in P_+$.*

Note that arbitrary finite-dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}$-module V of type **1** is a direct sum of its weight spaces.

Theorem 3.6 ([5]) *For $\lambda = \sum_i m_i\Lambda_i \in P_+$, define $\lambda^{(0)} := \sum_i m_i^{(0)}\lambda_i$ and $\lambda^{(1)} := \sum_i m_i^{(1)}\lambda_i$ where $m_i = m_i^{(0)} + lm_i^{(1)}$ with $0 \leq m_i^{(0)} < l$ (and then $\lambda = \lambda^{(0)} + l\lambda^{(1)}$). The $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}$-module $V_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $V(\lambda^{(0)}) \otimes V(l\lambda^{(1)})$.*

Here we call a weight $\lambda \in P_+$ satisfying $\lambda = \lambda^{(0)}$ a *l-restricted weight.* As we have stated in the introduction, the module $V(\lambda^{(0)})$ is irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}$-module and $V(l\lambda^{(1)})$ is identified with the irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$-module $V(\lambda^{(1)})$. Since we know the structure of irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$-module well, this theorem implies that the structure of the module $V_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}(\lambda)$ can be clarified if we shall make clear the one for $V(\lambda^{(0)})$.

4 Primitive vectors

Let l and ε be same as in the previous section.

4.1 Specializations of parameters

Let $M := \{\mathrm{m} = (m_{jk})_{1\leq j\leq k\leq n} | 0 \leq m_{jk} \leq l-1\}$ be the index set of the standard basis of $\mathcal{V}$. We can consider the additive structure on M via the natural identification $M \cong (\mathbf{Z}/l\mathbf{Z})^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}$. For $\mathrm{m} \in M$ we write $u_{\mathrm{m}} := \otimes_{1\leq j\leq k\leq n} u_{m_{jk}}$ ($u_{m_{jk}} \in V_{jk}$).

Here we consider the following specialization of parameters r, s, a, b :

$$a_{i\,k}a_{i\,k+1}\cdots a_{i\,n} = 1, \tag{4.1}$$

$$r_i b_{i\,k} b_{i\,k-1} b^{-1}_{i-1\,k-1} b^{-1}_{i+1\,k} = 1, (1 \leq i \leq k \leq n), \tag{4.2}$$

$$\frac{r_i}{s_i} b^2_{i\,n} b^{-1}_{i-1\,n} b^{-1}_{i+1\,n} = \varepsilon^{\lambda_i} \tag{4.3}$$

where integers $\{\lambda_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$ satisfy $0 \leq \lambda_i < l$.

Remark. Here note that the set of parameters satisfying (4.1)–(4.3) is never empty. Indeed, if we set $a_{j\,k} = b_{j\,k} = 1$ for any (j, k) and $r_i = 1$ and $s_i = \varepsilon^{-\lambda_i}$ for any i, it is trivial to see that these satisfy (4.1)–(4.3). (By (4.1), we have $a_{jk} = 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k \leq n$).

Lemma 4.1 *Under the specialization (4.2) and (4.3), we have*

$$s_i b_{i+1-k\,n-k} b^{-1}_{i+1-k\,n+1-k} b_{i-k\,n+1-k} b^{-1}_{i-k\,n-k} = \varepsilon^{-\lambda_i}. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Using (4.2), we have $r_i b_{ik} b_{ik-1} b_{i-1\,k-1}^{-1} b_{i+1\,k}^{-1} = 1 = r_i b_{ik-1} b_{ik-2} b_{i-1\,k-2}^{-1} b_{i+1\,k-1}^{-1}$ and then $b_{ik} b_{i-1\,k-1}^{-1} b_{i+1k}^{-1} = b_{ik-2} b_{i-1\,k-2}^{-1} b_{i+1\,k-1}^{-1}$. Changing $i \to i-k$ and $k \to n-k$, we get

$$b_{i+1-k\,n-k} b_{i+1-k\,n+1-k}^{-1} = b_{i-k\,n-k-1} b_{i-k\,n+1-k}^{-1} b_{i-k-1\,n-k-1} b_{i-k-1\,n-k-1}^{-1}. \tag{4.5}$$

By (4.2) with $k = n$ and (4.3), we have $s_i b_{in-1} b_{in}^{-1} b_{i-1\,n} b_{i-1\,n-1}^{-1} = \varepsilon^{-\lambda_i}$, which is (4.4) in the case $k = 1$. Suppose that (4.4) holds and substitute (4.5) into (4.4). Then we obtain

$$s_i b_{i-kn-k-1} b_{i-kn-k}^{-1} b_{i-k-1n-k} b_{i-k-1n-k-1}^{-1} = \varepsilon^{-\lambda_i}.$$

Thus, the induction on k procedes and then we prove (4.4) for any $k \in \{1, 2, \cdots, i\}$.
□

By (4.1)–(4.3) and this lemma, we have

$$\Phi_{r,s,a,b}(e_i) := \sum_{k=i}^{n} X_{i\,k} X_{i\,k+1} \cdots X_{i\,n} \{Z_{i\,k} Z_{i\,k-1} Z_{i-1\,k-1}^{-1} Z_{i+1\,k}^{-1}\}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{r,s,a,b}(f_i) := \sum_{k=1}^{i} X_{i+1-k\,n+1-k}^{-1} X_{i+2-k\,n+2-k}^{-1} \cdots X_{in}^{-1} \qquad (4.7) \\ \times \{\varepsilon^{-\lambda_i} Z_{i+1-k\,n-k} Z_{i+1-k\,n+1-k}^{-1} Z_{i-k\,n+1-k} Z_{i-k\,n-k}^{-1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi_{r,s,a,b}(t_i) := \varepsilon^{\lambda_i} Z_{i\,n}^2 Z_{i-1\,n}^{-1} Z_{i+1\,n}^{-1}, \tag{4.8}$$

4.2 Primitive vecotrs in $\mathcal{V}$

Under the specialization in 4.1, we get the following:

Proposition 4.2 *Under the specialization (4.1) and (4.2), $v \in \mathcal{V}$ satisfies the condition*

$$e_i v = 0 \quad \text{for any } i = 1, \cdots, n, \tag{4.9}$$

if and only if $v = c u_{\vec{0}}$ $(c \in \mathbf{C})$ where $\vec{0} = (0, 0, \cdots, 0) \in M$.

Proof. By (4.1) and (4.2), the action of e_i on $u_{\mathrm{m}} \in \mathcal{V}$ $(\mathrm{m} = (m_{g\,h}) \in M)$ is given by

$$e_i u_{\mathrm{m}} = \sum_{i \le k \le n} [m_{i\,k} + m_{i\,k-1} - m_{i-1\,k-1} - m_{i+1\,k}] u_{\mathrm{m} + \epsilon_{i\,k} + \cdots \epsilon_{i\,n}}, \tag{4.10}$$

where $\epsilon_{j\,k} \in M$ satisfies that the (j, k)-entry is 1 and all others are 0. If $\mathrm{m} = \vec{0}$, we have $m_{i\,k} + m_{i\,k-1} - m_{i-1\,k-1} - m_{i+1\,k} = 0$ for all $i \le k \le n$, which implies that $e_i u_{\vec{0}} = 0$ for any i.

Conversely, assume that $v = \sum_{\mathrm{m} \in M} c_{\mathrm{m}} u_{\mathrm{m}}$ $(c_{\mathrm{m}} \in \mathbf{C})$ satisfies (4.9). First, we have

$$0 = e_n v = X_{n\,n} \{Z_{n-1\,n-1}^{-1} Z_{n\,n}\} v = \sum_{\mathrm{m} \in M} c_{\mathrm{m}} [m_{n\,n} - m_{n-1\,n-1}] u_{\mathrm{m} + \epsilon_{n\,n}}. \tag{4.11}$$

This implies that

$$m_{n-1\,n-1} \neq m_{n\,n} \Longrightarrow c_{\mathrm{m}} = 0, \tag{4.12}$$

and then we have $v = \sum_{\mathrm{m}\in M,\, m_{n-1\,n-1}=m_{nn}} c_{\mathrm{m}} v_{\mathrm{m}}$.

Next, by $e_{n-1}v = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = e_{n-1}v &= (X_{n-1\,n-1}X_{n-1\,n}\{Z_{n-1\,n-1}Z^{-1}_{n-2\,n-2}\} + X_{n-1\,n}\{Z_{n-1\,n}Z_{n-1\,n-1}Z^{-1}_{n-2\,n-1}Z^{-1}_{n\,n}\})v \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{m}\,\in\, M, \\ m_{n-1\,n-1}\,=\,m_{n\,n}}} c_{\mathrm{m}}[m_{n-1\,n-1} - m_{n-2\,n-2}]u_{\mathrm{m}+\epsilon_{n-1\,n-1}+\epsilon_{n-1\,n}} \\ &\qquad + c_{\mathrm{m}}[m_{n-1\,n} + m_{n-1\,n-1} - m_{n-2\,n-1} - m_{n\,n}]u_{\mathrm{m}+\epsilon_{n-1\,n}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$c_{\mathrm{m}}[m_{n-1\,n-1} - m_{n-2\,n-2}] = c_{\mathrm{m}}[m_{n-1\,n} + m_{n-1\,n-1} - m_{n-2\,n-1} - m_{n\,n}] = 0 \tag{4.13}$$

for any $\mathrm{m} \in M$ satisfying $m_{n-1\,n-1} = m_{n\,n}$ since all vectors appear in the summation are linearly independent under the condition $m_{n-1\,n-1} = m_{n\,n}$, that is, the index $\mathrm{m} + \epsilon_{n-1\,n-1} + \epsilon_{n-1\,n}$ and $\mathrm{m}' + \epsilon_{n-1\,n}$ never coincide for arbitrary m, m' under the condition $m_{n-1\,n-1} = m_{n\,n}$. Thus, by (4.12) and (4.13) we have unless

$$\begin{aligned} &m_{n-2\,n-2} = m_{n-1\,n-1} = m_{n\,n}, \\ &m_{n-2\,n-1} = m_{n-1\,n}, \end{aligned}$$

$c_{\mathrm{m}} = 0$.

Here we assume that $c_{\mathrm{m}} = 0$ in v unless

$$\begin{aligned} &m_{i\,i} = m_{i+1\,i+1} = \cdots\cdots\cdots = m_{n\,n}, \\ &m_{i\,i+1} = m_{i+1\,i+2} = \cdots\cdots = m_{n-1\,n}, \\ &\qquad\cdots\cdots \\ &m_{i\,n-1} = m_{i+1\,n}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

By $e_i v = 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k=i}^{n} X_{i\,k}X_{i\,k+1}\cdots X_{i\,n}\{Z_{i\,k}Z_{i\,k-1}Z^{-1}_{i-1\,k-1}Z^{-1}_{i+1\,k}\}v \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{m}\,\in\, M, \\ \mathrm{m\ satisfies\ (4.14)}}} \sum_{k=i}^{n} c_{\mathrm{m}}[m_{i\,k} + m_{i\,k-1} - m_{i-1\,k-1} - m_{i+1\,k}]u_{\mathrm{m}+\epsilon_{i\,k}+\cdots+\epsilon_{i\,n}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{m}\,\in\, M, \\ \mathrm{m\ satisfies\ (4.14)}}} (c_{\mathrm{m}}[m_{i\,i} - m_{i-1\,i-1}]u_{\mathrm{m}+\epsilon_{i\,i}+\cdots+\epsilon_{i\,n}} \\ &\qquad + c_{\mathrm{m}}[m_{i\,i+1} + m_{i\,i} - m_{i-1\,i} - m_{i+1\,i+1}]u_{\mathrm{m}+\epsilon_{i\,i+1}+\cdots+\epsilon_{i\,n}} \\ &\qquad\qquad + \cdots\cdots + \\ &\qquad + c_{\mathrm{m}}[m_{i\,n} + m_{i\,n-1} - m_{i-1\,n-1} - m_{i+1\,n}]u_{\mathrm{m}+\epsilon_{i\,n}}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

It follows from (4.14) that all vectors apear in the summation (4.15) are linearly independent. Therefore, we obtain that $c_{\mathrm{m}} = 0$, unless

$$m_{i\,i} - m_{i-1\,i-1} = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&m_{i\,i+1} + m_{i\,i} - m_{i-1\,i} - m_{i+1\,i+1} = 0, \\
&\cdots\cdots\cdots \\
&m_{i\,n} + m_{i\,n-1} - m_{i-1\,n-1} - m_{i+1\,n} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from this and (4.14) we get $c_{\mathrm{m}} = 0$ unless

$$\begin{aligned}
&m_{i-1\,i-1} = m_{i\,i} = m_{i+1\,i+1} = \cdots\cdots\cdots = m_{n\,n}, \\
&m_{i-1\,i} = m_{i\,i+1} = m_{i+1\,i+2} = \cdots\cdots = m_{n-1\,n}, \\
&\qquad\quad \cdots\cdots \\
&m_{i-1,n-2} = m_{i\,n-1} = m_{i+1\,n}, \\
&m_{i-1\,n-1} = m_{i\,n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Thus, using $e_2 v = e_3 v = \cdots = e_{n-1} v = e_n v = 0$ we have $c_{\mathrm{m}} = 0$ unless

$$\begin{aligned}
&m_{1\,1} = m_{2\,2} = m_{3\,3} = \cdots\cdots\cdots = m_{n\,n}, \\
&m_{1\,2} = m_{2\,3} = m_{3\,4} = \cdots = m_{n-1\,n}, \\
&\qquad\quad \cdots\cdots \\
&m_{1,n-2} = m_{2\,n-1} = m_{3\,n}, \\
&m_{1\,n-1} = m_{2\,n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Finally, using $e_1 v = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{1\,k} X_{1\,k+1} \cdots X_{1\,n} \{Z_{1\,k} Z_{1\,k-1} Z_{2\,k}^{-1}\} v \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{m}\,\in\, M, \\ \mathrm{m \ satisfies \ (4.17)}}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{\mathrm{m}} [m_{1\,k} + m_{1\,k-1} - m_{2\,k}] u_{\mathrm{m}+\epsilon_{1\,k}+\cdots+\epsilon_{1\,n}} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{m}\,\in\, M, \\ \mathrm{m \ satisfies \ (4.17)}}} (c_{\mathrm{m}} [m_{1\,1}] u_{\mathrm{m}+\epsilon_{1\,1}+\cdots+\epsilon_{1\,n}} \\
&\qquad + c_{\mathrm{m}} [m_{1\,2} + m_{1\,1} - m_{2\,2}] u_{\mathrm{m}+\epsilon_{1\,2}+\cdots+\epsilon_{1\,n}} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \cdots\cdots + \\
&\qquad + c_{\mathrm{m}} [m_{1\,n} + m_{1\,n-1} - m_{2\,n}] u_{\mathrm{m}+\epsilon_{1\,n}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Under the condition of (4.17), we get that $c_{\mathrm{m}} = 0$, unless

$$0 = m_{1\,1} = m_{1\,2} = \cdots = m_{1\,n}. \tag{4.19}$$

Therefore, it follows from (4.17) and (4.19) that $c_{\mathrm{m}} = 0$ unless

$$\begin{aligned}
&0 = m_{1\,1} = m_{2\,2} = m_{3\,3} = \cdots\cdots\cdots = m_{n\,n}, \\
&0 = m_{1\,2} = m_{2\,3} = m_{3\,4} = \cdots = m_{n-1\,n}, \\
&\qquad\quad \cdots\cdots \\
&0 = m_{1,n-2} = m_{2\,n-1} = m_{3\,n}, \\
&0 = m_{1\,n-1} = m_{2\,n},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

which implies that $v = c u_{\bar{0}}$. $\square$

Remark. In the proof of the proposition, we see easily that we do not need (4.1) essentially. It is required for simplification of the proof or the presentations. So it is possible to proceed the same argument for generic a_{jk}'s.

The primitive vector $u_{\vec{0}}$ possesses the following property:

Proposition 4.3 *Under the condition (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), we have* $f_i^{\lambda_i+1}u_{\vec{0}} = 0$.

Proof. We obtain the explicit form of $f_i^{\lambda_i+1}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ by (3.6) in Proposition 3.3 taking $m = \lambda_i + 1$. By Lemma 4.1, under the specialization (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), we have $s_i b_{i+1-kn-k} b_{i+1-kn+1-k}^{-1} b_{i-kn+1-k} b_{i-kn-k}^{-1} = \varepsilon^{-\lambda_i}$. Thus, on $u_{\vec{0}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f_i^{\lambda_i+1}u_{\vec{0}} \\ & = [\lambda_i+1]! \sum_{p=1}^{\lambda_i+1} \sum_{\substack{i \le k_p < \cdots < k_1 \le n \\ 1 \le \nu_p < \cdots < \nu_1 = \lambda_i + 1}} \prod_{r=1}^{p} A^{*\nu_r - \nu_{r+1}}_{n+1-i\, n+1-k_r} \prod_{r=1}^{p} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \varepsilon^{-\lambda_i}; \nu_r - 1 \\ \nu_r - \nu_{r+1} \end{matrix} \right\} u_{\vec{0}}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $p \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lambda_i + 1\}$ and any $\nu_p, \cdots, \nu_1$ satisying $1 \le \nu_p < \cdots < \nu_1 = \lambda_i + 1$, there exists some $r \in \{1, 2, \cdots, p\}$ such that $\nu_{r+1} \le \lambda_i < \nu_r$. Therefore, for such r we have

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} \varepsilon^{-\lambda_i}; \nu_r - 1 \\ \nu_r - \nu_{r+1} \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{\{\varepsilon^{-\lambda_i+\nu_r-1}\}\{\varepsilon^{-\lambda_i+\nu_r-2}\}\cdots\{\varepsilon^{-\lambda_i+\nu_{r+1}}\}}{[\nu_r - \nu_{r+1}]!} = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain $f_i^{\lambda_i+1}u_{\vec{0}} = 0$. □

Here for $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$ $(0 \le \lambda_i < l)$ we define the U_ε-submodule $L(\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{V}$ by $L(\lambda) := U_\varepsilon u_{\vec{0}}$.

Let $V(\lambda)$ be the irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module as in 3.2. Let $V_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda)$ be the $U_{\mathcal{A}}$-submodule of $V(\lambda)$ generated by v_λ. Set $V_\varepsilon(\lambda) := V_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{C}_\varepsilon$, which is naturally U_ε-module. Note that $V_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ is not necessarily irreducible. By Propositoin 4.3, $f_i^{\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle+1}u_{\vec{0}} = 0$ $(i \in I)$, thus we have the following surjective U_ε-linear map $\pi : V_\varepsilon(\lambda) \longrightarrow L(\lambda)$ given by $\pi : v_\lambda \mapsto u_{\vec{0}}$. It seems that the module $L(\lambda)$ is in the similar stream of the theory of $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}$-modules. Here we expect that $L(\lambda)$ is an irreducible highest weight U_ε-module. Suprisingly, in the next section we obtain more interesting results that $L(\lambda)$ can be seen as an irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}$-module. This means that we get $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{res}}$ (or $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}$)-modules directly from U_ε-modules.

4.3 Shifts of parameters

Let $r^{(0)} = (r_j^{(0)})$, $s^{(0)} = (s_j^{(0)}) \in (\mathbf{C}^\times)^n$ and $a^{(0)} = (a_{jk}^{(0)})$, $b^{(0)} = (b_{jk}^{(0)}) \in (\mathbf{C}^\times)^N$ be the parameters satisfying (4.1)–(4.3).

Fix a basis vector $u_\xi \in \mathcal{V}$ $(\xi = (\xi_{jk}) \in M)$ arbitrarily and set $b^{(\xi)} := (\varepsilon^{-\xi_{jk}} b_{jk}^{(0)}) \in (\mathbf{C}^\times)^N$. In this setting we obtain the following:

Proposition 4.4 *For any* $\mu = (\mu_{jk}) \in M$ *and any* $X \in U_\varepsilon$, *set*

$$\Phi_{r^{(0)},s^{(0)},a^{(0)},b^{(0)}}(X)u_\mu = \sum_{\mathrm{m} \in M} C_{\mathrm{m}} u_{\mathrm{m}}. \tag{4.21}$$

Then we have

$$\Phi_{r^{(0)},s^{(0)},a^{(0)},b^{(\xi)}}(X)u_{\mu+\xi} = \sum_{\mathrm{m}\in M} C_{\mathrm{m}} u_{\mathrm{m}+\xi} \tag{4.22}$$

Proof. It is shown easily from the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi_{a^{(0)},b^{(0)}}(x_{jk})u_{\mu_{jk}} = a^{(0)}_{jk} u_{\mu_{jk}+1}, \qquad \psi_{a^{(0)},b^{(0)}}(z_{jk})u_{\mu_{jk}} = b^{(0)}_{jk} \varepsilon^{\mu_{jk}} u_{\mu_{jk}},\\
&\psi_{a^{(0)},b^{(\xi)}}(x_{jk})u_{\mu_{jk}+\xi_{jk}} = a^{(0)}_{jk} u_{\mu_{jk}+\xi_{jk}+1},\\
&\psi_{a^{(0)},b^{(\xi)}}(z_{jk})u_{\mu_{jk}+\xi_{jk}} = b^{(\xi)}_{jk} \varepsilon^{\mu_{jk}+\xi_{jk}} u_{\mu_{jk}+\xi_{jk}} = b^{(0)}_{jk} \varepsilon^{\mu_{jk}} u_{\mu_{jk}+\xi_{jk}}. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.4, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.5 *We consider the representation $(\Phi_{r^{(0)},s^{(0)},a^{(0)},b^{(\xi)}}, \mathcal{V})$. A vector $v \in \mathcal{V}$ satisfies the condition*

$$e_i v = 0 \quad \text{for any } i = 1, \cdots, n, \tag{4.23}$$

if and only if $v = cu_\xi$ $(c \in \mathbf{C})$ where $u_\xi \in \mathcal{V}$ is the fixed basis vector as above.

By this proposition, if we take the parameters properly, any basis vector u_ξ in $\mathcal{V}$ can be a primitive vector.

5 Irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}$-module

Suppose that parameters r, s, a, b satisfy the conditions (4.1)–(4.3). In this case $u_{\vec{0}}$ is the unique (up to constant) primitive vector in $\mathcal{V}$. As we defined in the last section, set $L(\lambda) := U_\varepsilon u_{\vec{0}}$ $(\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n),\ 0 \le \lambda_i \le l-1)$, which is a U_ε-submodule of $\mathcal{V}$. In this section we shall see several properties of this module and it amounts to an irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}$-module.

5.1 Root vectors

First, we see higher root vectors in U_ε. There are several definitions for them. We shall introduce two of them here and discuss their relations.

The first one is defined by using (2.13) and (2.16). We also denote them by e_α and f_α $(\alpha \in \Delta_+)$.

Lemma 5.1 *The root vectors e_α and $f_\alpha \in U_\varepsilon$ $(\alpha \in \Delta_+)$ defined by (2.13) and (2.16) satisfy the relations (2.11), (2.12), (2.14), (2.15), (2.17) and (2.18) in U_ε.*

Proof. The proof for the f_α case is similar to the e_α case, thus we shall only see the e_α case. We shall show (2.11), (2.14) and (2.15) simultaneously by the induction on the height of roots. Set $\alpha = \alpha_j + \alpha_{j+1} \cdots + \alpha_k$, $\beta = \alpha - \alpha_j$ and $\gamma = \beta - \alpha_{j+1} \in \Delta_+$ $(j < k)$. If the condition $(\alpha, \alpha_i) = 0$ and $i < g(\alpha) (= k)$ hold, we know that $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, j-2, j+1, j+2, \cdots, k-1\}$. If $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, j-2, j+2, \cdots, k-1\}$, by the hypothesis of the induction we have $e_i e_\beta = e_\beta e_i$ and then

$$e_i e_\alpha = e_i(\varepsilon^{-1} e_j e_\beta - e_\beta e_j) = (\varepsilon^{-1} e_j e_\beta - e_\beta e_j) e_i = e_\alpha e_i.$$

If $i = j+1$, by the hypothesis of the induction we have $\varepsilon e_{j+1}e_\beta = e_\beta e_{j+1}$, $e_j e_\gamma = e_\gamma e_j$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{j+1}e_\alpha \quad &= e_{j+1}(\varepsilon^{-1}e_je_\beta - e_\beta e_j) = e_{j+1}(\varepsilon^{-1}e_j(\varepsilon^{-1}e_{j+1}e_\gamma - e_\gamma e_{j+1}) - e_\beta e_j)\\
&= \varepsilon^{-2}e_{j+1}e_je_{j+1}e_\gamma - \varepsilon^{-1}e_{j+1}e_je_\gamma e_{j+1} - e_{j+1}e_\beta e_j\\
&= \varepsilon^{-1}e_\beta e_{j+1}e_j + e_\gamma e_{j+1}e_je_{j+1} + \varepsilon^{-1}e_je_\beta e_{j+1} - e_\beta e_je_{j+1} - e_\gamma e_{j+1}e_je_{j+1} - \varepsilon^{-1}e_\beta e_{j+1}e_j\\
&= \varepsilon^{-1}e_je_\beta e_{j+1} - e_\beta e_je_{j+1} = e_\alpha e_{j+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the formula;

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{j+1}e_je_{j+1}e_\gamma \quad &= \quad \varepsilon e_\beta e_{j+1}e_j + \varepsilon^2 e_\gamma e_{j+1}e_je_{j+1} + \varepsilon e_je_\beta e_{j+1},\\
e_{j+1}e_je_\gamma e_{j+1} \quad &= \quad e_\beta e_je_{j+1} + e_\gamma e_{j+1}e_je_{j+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, if the condition $(\alpha, \alpha_i) = -1$ and $i < g(\alpha)(= k)$ hold, we know that $i = j-1$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{j-1}e_{\alpha+\alpha_{j-1}} \quad &= e_{j-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}e_{j-1}e_\alpha - e_\alpha e_{j-1})\\
&= e_{j-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}e_{j-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}e_je_\beta - e_\beta e_j) - (\varepsilon^{-1}e_je_\beta - e_\beta e_j)e_{j-1})\\
&= \varepsilon^{-2}([2]e_{j-1}e_je_{j-1}e_\beta - e_je_{j-1}^2e_\beta) - \varepsilon^{-1}e_\beta e_{j-1}^2e_j - e_{j-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}e_je_\beta - e_\beta e_j)e_{j-1}\\
&= ((-\varepsilon^{-2}e_je_\beta + \varepsilon^{-1}e_\beta e_j)e_{j-1} + \varepsilon^{-2}e_{j-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}e_je_\beta - e_\beta e_j))e_{j-1}\\
&= \varepsilon^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}e_{j-1}e_\alpha - e_\alpha e_{j-1})e_{j-1} = \varepsilon^{-1}e_{\alpha+\alpha_{j-1}}e_{j-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, under the same condition as above, using $e_je_\alpha = \varepsilon^{-1}e_\alpha e_j$, $e_\beta e_\alpha = \varepsilon e_\alpha e_\beta$, $e_{j-1}e_\beta = e_\beta e_{j-1}$ and $e_je_\beta^2 - [2]e_\beta e_je_\beta + e_\beta^2e_j = e_j^2e_\beta - [2]e_je_\beta e_j + e_\beta e_j^2 = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_\alpha^2e_{j-1} \quad &= (\varepsilon^{-1}e_je_\beta - e_\beta e_j)e_\alpha e_{j-1} = e_je_\alpha e_{j-1}e_\beta - e_\beta e_je_\alpha e_{j-1}\\
&= \varepsilon^{-1}e_j^2e_{j-1}e_\beta^2 - e_je_\beta e_je_{j-1}e_\beta - \varepsilon^{-1}e_\beta e_j^2e_{j-1}e_\beta + e_\beta e_je_\beta e_je_{j-1}\\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^{-2}}{[2]}e_j^2e_{j-1}e_\beta^2 - \varepsilon^{-1}e_\beta e_j^2e_{j-1}e_\beta^2 + \frac{1}{[2]}e_\beta^2e_j^2e_{j-1}\\
&= e_{j-1}(-\varepsilon^{-2}e_je_\beta e_je_\beta + \varepsilon^{-1}e_\beta e_j^2e_\beta + \varepsilon^{-1}e_je_\beta^2e_j - e_\beta e_je_\beta e_j)\\
&+[2](\varepsilon^{-2}e_je_\beta e_{j-1}e_je_\beta - \varepsilon^{-1}e_je_\beta e_{j-1}e_\beta e_j - \varepsilon^{-1}e_\beta e_je_{j-1}e_je_\beta + e_\beta e_je_{j-1}e_\beta e_j)\\
&= -e_{j-1}e_\alpha^2 + [2]e_\alpha e_{j-1}e_\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

and then we have $e_\alpha e_{\alpha+\alpha_{j-1}} = \varepsilon e_{\alpha+\alpha_{j-1}}e_\alpha$. □

Here we introduce the alternative definition of root vectors [2]. For roots $\alpha = \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} + \cdots \alpha_j$ and $\beta = \alpha_{j+1} + \alpha_{j+2} + \cdots + \alpha_k$ $(i < j < k)$, we define

$$\overline{e}_{\alpha+\beta} = \overline{e}_\alpha\overline{e}_\beta - \varepsilon\overline{e}_\beta\overline{e}_\alpha, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\overline{f}_{\alpha+\beta} = \overline{f}_\alpha\overline{f}_\beta - \varepsilon^{-1}\overline{f}_\beta\overline{f}_\alpha, \tag{5.2}$$

where we set $\overline{e}_{\alpha_i} := e_i$ and $\overline{f}_{\alpha_i} := f_i$. Note that this definitions are well-defined, that is, these do not depend on the choice of j.

We obtain the following simple relations between two types of the root vectors:

Lemma 5.2 *For any $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, we have*

$$\overline{e}_\alpha = \varepsilon^{\mathrm{height}(\alpha)-1} e_\alpha, \quad \overline{f}_\alpha = \varepsilon^{-\mathrm{height}(\alpha)+1} f_\alpha, \tag{5.3}$$

$$\overline{e}^l_\alpha = e^l_\alpha, \quad \overline{f}^l_\alpha = f^l_\alpha. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. The proof of (5.3) is done by using induction on the height of roots and (5.4) is immediate consequence of (5.3) since $\varepsilon^l = 1$. □

5.2 $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}$-module structure on $\mathcal{V}$

For $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ we define the actions of e_α and f_α recursively by using the formula (2.13) and (2.16) as in the previous subsection.

Proposition 5.3 *For any $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ and $i = 1, \cdots, n$, we have*

$$e^l_\alpha = f^l_\alpha = 0 \text{ and } t_i^{2l} = 1 \text{ on } \mathcal{V}. \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. Since $\Phi_{r,s,a,b}(t_i) = \varepsilon^{\lambda_i} Z_{in}^2 Z_{i-1n}^{-1} Z_{i+1n}^{-1}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ and $Z_{ij}^l = 1$, it is trivial that $t_i^{2l} = 1$. To show the nilpotency of e_α and f_α we see the following result in [2].

Proposition 5.4 ([2] Proposition 3.4) *For $\alpha = \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} + \cdots + \alpha_j$, the actions of $\overline{e}^l_\alpha$ and $\overline{f}^l_\alpha$ on $\mathcal{V}$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{e}^l_\alpha \quad &= \frac{1}{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1})^l} \Bigg(\sum_{k_1 \geq i, \cdots, k_{j-i+1} \geq j} \sum_{p=1}^{j-i+1} (-1)^{p-1} \theta(k_1 \geq \cdots \geq k_p < \cdots < k_{j-i+1}) \\ &C_{i\, k_1 \cdots k_{p-1}} (C_{i+p-1\, k_p} - C^{-1}_{i+p-1\, k_p}) C_{i+p\, k_{p+1} \cdots k_{j-i+1}} D_{i\, k_1 \cdots k_{j-i+1}} \Bigg) \cdot \mathrm{id}, \\ \overline{f}^l_\alpha \quad &= \frac{1}{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1})^l} \Bigg(\sum_{k_1 \geq n+1-j, \cdots, k_{j-i+1} \geq n+1-i} \sum_{p=1}^{j-i+1} (-1)^{p-1} \theta(k_1 \geq \cdots \geq k_p < \cdots < k_{j-i+1}) \\ &\overline{C}_{n+1-j\, k_1 \cdots k_{p-1}} (\overline{C}_{n-j+p\, k_p} - \overline{C}^{-1}_{n-j+p\, k_p}) \overline{C}_{n-j+p+1\, k_{p+1} \cdots k_{j-i+1}} \overline{D}_{n-j+1\, k_1 \cdots k_{j-i+1}} \Bigg) \cdot \mathrm{id}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta(X) = 1$ if X is true and $\theta(X) = 0$ otherwise, and we set

$$C_{i\, k} := (\varepsilon^{-1} r_i b_{ik} b_{ik-1} b^{-1}_{i-1k-1} b^{-1}_{i+1k})^l, \tag{5.6}$$

$$\overline{C}_{ik} := (\varepsilon^{-1} s_i b_{k+1-i\, k} b_{k-i\, k-1} b^{-1}_{k+1-i\, k-1} b^{-1}_{k-i\, k})^l, \tag{5.7}$$

$$D_{ik} := \prod_{p=k}^{n} (a_{ip})^l, \ \overline{D}_{ik} := \prod_{p=k}^{n} (a^{-1}_{p+1-i\, p})^l, \tag{5.8}$$

and $\phi_{i\, k_1 \cdots k_p} := \phi_{i\, k_1} \cdots \phi_{i\, k_p}$ for $\phi = C, \overline{C}, D, \overline{D}$.

Applying the specializations of the parameters (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) to C_{ik}, $\overline{C}_{ik}$, D_{ik} and $\overline{D}_{ik}$, we have $C_{ik} = \overline{C}_{ik} = 1$, which implies that $C_{n-j+p\, k_p} - C^{-1}_{n-j+p\, k_p} = \overline{C}_{n-j+p\, k_p} - \overline{C}^{-1}_{n-j+p\, k_p} = 0$ and then $\overline{e}^l_\alpha = \overline{f}^l_\alpha = 0$. Since we have $e^l_\alpha = \overline{e}^l_\alpha$ and $f^l_\alpha = \overline{f}^l_\alpha$ by Lemma 5.2, we obtain that $e^l_\alpha = f^l_\alpha = 0$ on $\mathcal{V}$. □

Theorem 5.5 (*i*) *If we define the actions of* e_α *and* f_α $(\alpha \in \Delta_+)$ *by using (2.13) and (2.16), the vector space* $\mathcal{V}$ *becomes* $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}$*-module.*

(*ii*) *The subspace* $L(\lambda)$ $(\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n),\ \lambda_i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, l-1\})$ *is the irreducible* $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}$*-submodule of* $\mathcal{V}$*.*

Proof. To show the former half of the theorem, it suffices to check the relations (2.7)–(2.20) in Proposition 2.1. The relations (2.7)–(2.10) are satisfied since $\mathcal{V}$ is originally U_ε-module. The relations (2.11)–(2.18) are obtained from Lemma 5.2. We have the relations (2.19) and (2.20) from Proposition 5.3. Thus, we have the well-defined actions of $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}$ on $\mathcal{V}$.

5.3 Proof of irreducibility

In orderto show the irreducibility of $L(\lambda)$, we need the following:

Proposition 5.6 *Any finite dimensional* $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}$*-module contains a primitive vector.*

To show the proposition, we shall show the following lemma

Lemma 5.7 *Let* $L > 0$ *be a sufficiently large integer. For any* $i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_L \in I$ *we have in* $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}$*,*

$$e_{i_L} \cdots e_{i_2} e_{i_1} = 0. \tag{5.9}$$

Proof. We define a $\mathbf{Z}$-gradation on $(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})^+$ by the following way: As we have seen in Proposition 2.2, $(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})^+$ has the basis

$$\{e_{\beta_N}^{r_N} e_{\beta_{N-1}}^{r_{N-1}} \cdots e_{\beta_1}^{r_1}\}_{0 \le r_1, \cdots, r_N < l}.$$

Using this, we define

$$(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})_d^+ := \bigoplus_{r_1 \mathrm{ht}(\beta_1) + \cdots + r_N \mathrm{ht}(\beta_N) = d} \mathbf{C} e_{\beta_N}^{r_N} e_{\beta_{N-1}}^{r_{N-1}} \cdots e_{\beta_1}^{r_1}, \tag{5.10}$$

where $\mathrm{ht}(\beta)$ is the height of a root $\beta \in \Delta_+$. We have

$$(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})^+ = \bigoplus_d (U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})_d^+.$$

An element in $(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})_d^+$ is called a *homogeneous element of degree d*. Since all the relations in $(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})^+$, that is, (2.11), (2.13), (2.14, (2.15) and (2.19) are homogeneous, it is well-defined and then we obtain $(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})_d^+ (U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})_e^+ \subset (U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})_{d+e}^+$ for $d, e \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Hence, $e_{i_L} \cdots e_{i_2} e_{i_1}$ is a homogeneous element of degree L. It immediately follows from Proposition 2.2 that the maximum degree is $(l-1)\sum_{i=1}^N \mathrm{ht}(\beta_i) := J$, which implies that if $L > J$, $(U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}})_L^+ = 0$. Thus, if L is sufficiently large, a homogeneous element $e_{i_L} \cdots e_{i_2} e_{i_1}$ must vanish. □

Proof of Proposition 5.6. Suppose that a finite dimensional $U_\varepsilon^{\mathrm{fin}}$-module V does not have any primitive vector. So any non-zero $v \in V$ there exists an infinite sequence $i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k, \cdots$ $(i_j \in I)$ such that all vectors v, $e_{i_1} v$,

$e_{i_2}e_{i_1}v, \cdots, e_{i_k}\cdots e_{i_2}e_{i_1}v, \cdots$ never vanish. But this contradicts to Lemma 5.7. Therefore, V contains a primitive vector. $\square$

Let W be a non-zero submodule of $L(\lambda)$. By Proposition 5.6, W contains a primitive vector. By the uniqueness of the primitive vector in $\mathcal{V}$ (Proposition 4.2), W has to contain $u_{\vec{0}}$. Therefore, $W = L(\lambda)$ and then $L(\lambda)$ is irreducible. Here we completed the proof of Theorem 5.5(ii). $\square$

By Proposition 7.1 in [5], for a 'l-restricted weight' (see 3.2) $\lambda \in P_+$ the $U_\varepsilon^{\rm res}$-module $V_\varepsilon^{\rm res}(\lambda)$ (see 3.2) is identified with the irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\rm fin}$-module, which is isomorphic to $L(\lambda)$. Accodingly, by Theorem 5.5 we realize the irreducible highest weight $U_\varepsilon^{\rm res}$-module $V_\varepsilon^{\rm res}(\lambda)$ with the l-restricted highest weight λ in the vector space $\mathcal{V}$.

Our further problem is to write down a basis of $L(\lambda)$ explicitly. Any basis vector of the irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module $V(\lambda)$ is parametrized by "Young tableaux" of shape λ. So by the constrcution of $V_\varepsilon^{\rm res}(\lambda)$ in 3.2, we deduce that a basis vector of $L(\lambda)$ would be parametrized by 'restricted'(in some sense) Young tableaux. We would also like to see the structure of the quotient module $\mathcal{V}/L(\lambda)$ or the tensor product of $\mathcal{V}\otimes\mathcal{V}$.

In [6], for the B_n, C_n and D_n-cases the analogous presentations of the maximal cyclic representations are given explicitly. Thus, we can apply the procedure adopted here to them and might hope to obtain the irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\rm fin}(B_n)$ ($U_\varepsilon^{\rm fin}(C_n)$, $U_\varepsilon^{\rm fin}(D_n)$)-modules, which will be discussed elsewhere.

References

[1] Chari V and Pressley A, A guide to Quantum Groups, Cambridge Univ.Press (1994).

[2] Date E, Jimbo M, Miki K and Miwa T, Cyclic representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1,\mathbf{C}))$ at $q^N = 1$, *Publ.RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **27** (1991), pp347–366.

[3] De Concini C and Kac V G, Representations of quantum groups at roots of 1, in Operator Algebras, Unitary Representations, Enveloping Algebras, and Invariant Theory, Actes du Colloque en l'honneur de Jacques Diximier, edited by A.Connes, MDuflo, AJoseph, and R.Rentschler (Prog.Math., Birkhauser, 1990), Vol.92, pp471.

[4] Lusztig G, Finite dimenstional Hopf algebras arising from quantized universal enveloping algebras, J.American Math.Soc., Vol.3, No.1, (1990), 257–296.

[5] Lusztig G, Modular representations and quantum groups, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol 82, pp59–77, (1989).

[6] Schnizer W.A., Roots of unity: Representations of symplectic and orthogonal quantum groups, *J.Math.Phys.*, Vol.**34**, No.9, 4340-4363, (1993).